arXiv:1403.0205v1 [math.OA] 2 Mar 2014

FRAMES AND OPERATORS IN HILBERT C^*-MODULES

ABBAS NAJATI, M. MOHAMMADI SAEM AND AND P. GĂVRUŢA

Abstract. In this paper we introduce the concepts of atomic systems for operators and K-frames in Hilbert C^*–modules and we establish some results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Frames for Hilbert spaces were introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer[4] as part of their research in non-harmonic Fourier series. A finite or countable sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in I}$ is called a frame for a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ if there exist constants $A, B > 0$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leqslant \sum_{n\in I} |\langle f, f_n\rangle|^2 \leqslant B\|f\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{1.1}$$

The frames have many properties which make them very useful in applications. See [3].

Frank and Larson [6, 7] extended this concept for countably generated Hilbert C^*-modules.

Let A be a C^*-algebra and $\mathcal{H}$ be a left A-module. We assume that the linear operations of A and $\mathcal{H}$ are comparable, i.e. $\lambda(ax) = (\lambda a)x = a(\lambda x)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, a \in A$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Recall that $\mathcal{H}$ is a pre-Hilbert A-module if there exists a sesquilinear mapping $\langle .,. \rangle : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to A$ with the properties

(1) $\langle x, x\rangle \geq 0$; if $\langle x, x\rangle = 0$, then $x = 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$.
(2) $\langle x, y\rangle = \langle y, x\rangle^*$ for every $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.
(3) $\langle ax, y\rangle = a\langle x, y\rangle$ for every $a \in A$, $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$.
(4) $\langle x + y, z\rangle = \langle x, z\rangle + \langle y, z\rangle$ for every $x, y, z \in \mathcal{H}$.

The map $x \mapsto \|x\| = \|\langle x, x\rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ defines a norm on $\mathcal{H}$. A pre-Hilbert A-module is called a Hilbert A-module if $\mathcal{H}$ is complete with respect to that norm. So $\mathcal{H}$ becomes the structure of a Banach A-module. A Hilbert A-module $\mathcal{H}$ is called countably generated if there exists a countable set $\{x_n\}_{n\in J} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ such that the linear span (over $\mathbb{C}$ and A) of this set is norm-dense in $\mathcal{H}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ are Hilbert A-modules over a C^*-algebra A. We define $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ to be the set of all maps $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ for which there is a map

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C15, 46L05, 46H25.

Key words and phrases. atomic system, K-frame, local atom, C^*-algebra, Hilbert C^*-module, Bessel sequence, orthonormal basis .

$T^* : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\langle Tx, y\rangle = \langle x, T^*y\rangle \quad x \in \mathcal{H}, y \in \mathcal{K}.$$

It is easy to see that each $T \in L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is A-linear and bounded. $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is called the set of adjointable maps from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{K}$. We denote $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ by $L(\mathcal{H})$. In fact $L(\mathcal{H})$ is a C^*-algebra.

For basic results on Hilbert modules see [2, 13, 14].

Throughout the present paper we suppose that A is a unital C^*-algebra and $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert A-module.

Definition 1.1. Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a finite or countable index set. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ of elements of $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be a *frame* if there exist two constants $C, D > 0$ such that

$$C\langle x, x\rangle \leqslant \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle \leqslant D\langle x, x\rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{1.2}$$

The constants C and D are called the *lower* and *upper frame bounds*, respectively. We consider *standard frames* for which the sum in the middle of (1.2) converges in norm for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$. A frame $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is said to be a *tight frame* if $C = D$, and said to be a *Parseval frame* (or a *normalized tight frame*) if $C = D = 1$. If just the right-hand inequality in (1.2) holds, we say that $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a *Bessel sequence* with a *Bessel bound* D.

It follows from the above definition that a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a normalized tight frame if and only if

$$\langle x, x\rangle = \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a standard frame for $\mathcal{H}$. The *frame transform* for $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is the map $T : \mathcal{H} \to \ell^2(A)$ defined by $Tx = \{\langle x, f_n\rangle\}_{n\in J}$, where $\ell^2(A)$ denotes a Hilbert A-module $\{\{a_j\}_{j\in J} : a_j \in A, \sum_j a_j a_j^* \text{ converges in norm}\}$ with pointwise operations and the inner product $\langle\{a_j\}_{j\in J}, \{b_j\}_{j\in J}\rangle = \sum_{j\in J} a_j b_j^*$. The adjoint operator $T^* : \ell^2(A) \to \mathcal{H}$ is given by $T^*(\{c_j\}_{j\in J}) = \sum_{j\in J} c_j f_j$ ([7], Theorem 4.4). By composing T and T^*, we obtain the *frame operator* $S : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ given by

$$Sx = T^*Tx = \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, f_n\rangle f_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The frame operator is positive and invertible, also it is the unique operator in $L(\mathcal{H})$ such that the reconstruction formula

$$x = \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, S^{-1}f_n\rangle f_n = \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, f_n\rangle S^{-1}f_n,$$

holds for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. It is easy to see that the sequence $\{S^{-1}f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H}$. The frame $\{S^{-1}f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is said to be the *canonical dual frame* of the frame $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$.

There exists Hilbert C^*-modules admitting no frames (see [10]). The Kasparov Stabilisation Theorem [9] is used in [7] to prove that every countably generated Hilbert Module over a unital C^*-algebra admits frames. The following Proposition gives an equivalent definition of frames in Hilbert C^*–modules.

Proposition 1.2. [11] *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a finitely or countably generated Hilbert A-module and $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a frame of $\mathcal{H}$ with bounds C and D if and only if*

$$C\|x\|^2 \leqslant \Big\| \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle \Big\| \leqslant D\|x\|^2,$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

We recall that an element $v \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be a *basic element* if $e = \langle v, v\rangle$ is a minimal projection in A; that is $eAe = \mathbb{C}e$. A system $\{v_i\}_{i\in J}$ of basic elements of $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be *orthonormal* if $\langle v_i, v_j\rangle = 0$, for all $i \neq j$; moreover if this orthonormal system generates a dense submodule of $\mathcal{H}$, then we call it an *orthonormal basis* for $\mathcal{H}$.

We need the following results to prove our results.

Theorem 1.3. [5] *Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert C^*-modules over a C^*-algebra A. Also let $S \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and $T \in L(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H})$ with $\overline{R(T^*)}$ orthogonally complemented. The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *$SS^* \leqslant \lambda TT^*$ for some $\lambda > 0$;*
2. *there exists $\mu > 0$ such that $\|S^*z\| \leqslant \mu\|T^*z\|$ for all $z \in \mathcal{H}$;*
3. *there exists $D \in L(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F})$ such that $S = TD$, i.e., $TX = S$ has a solution;*
4. *$R(S) \subseteq R(T)$.*

Proposition 1.4. [11] *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a sequence of a finitely or countably generated Hilbert C^*-module $\mathcal{H}$ over a unital C^*-algebra A. Then the following statements are mutually equivalent:*

1. *$\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ with bound D.*
2. *$\Big\| \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle \Big\| \leqslant D\|x\|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}$.*
3. *$\theta : \ell^2(A) \to \mathcal{H}$ defined by*

$$\theta(\{c_n\}_{n\in J}) = \sum_{n\in J} c_n f_n.$$

   is a well-defined bounded operator with $\|\theta\| \leqslant \sqrt{D}$.
4. *$T : \mathcal{H} \to \ell^2(A)$ defined by $Tx = \{\langle x, f_n\rangle\}_{n\in J}$ is adjointable and $T^* = \theta$.*

Proposition 1.5. [14] *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert C^*-module. If $T \in L(\mathcal{H})$, then $\langle Tx, Tx\rangle \leqslant \|T\|^2\langle x, x\rangle$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proposition 1.6. [11] *Let B be a C^*-algebra and $\{a_n\}_{n\in J}$ a sequence in B. If $\sum_{n\in J} a_n b_n^*$ converges for all $\{b_n\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(B)$, then $\{a_n\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(B)$.*

In [8], L. Găvruţa, presented a generalization of frames, named K-frames, which allows to reconstruct elements from the range of a linear and bounded operator in a Hilbert space. She also introduced the concept of atomic system for operators and gave new results and properties of K-frames in Hilbert spaces. See also [15].

In the present paper, we extend these results for frames in C^*-Hilbert modules.

2. ATOMIC SYSTEMS IN HILBERT C^*-MODULES

Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a finite or countable index set.

Definition 2.1. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is called an *atomic system* for $K \in L(\mathcal{H})$ if the following statements hold:

(1) the series $\sum_{n\in J} c_n f_n$ converges for all $c = \{c_n\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$;
(2) there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $\{a_{n,x}\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$ such that $\sum_{n\in J} a_{n,x}a^*_{n,x} \leqslant C\langle x, x\rangle$ and $Kx = \sum_{n\in J} a_{n,x} f_n$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_{n\in J} c_n f_n$ converges for all $c = \{c_n\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$. Then $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence in $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\sum_{n\in J} c_n\langle f_n, x\rangle$ converges for all $c = \{c_n\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence $\{\langle x, f_n\rangle\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$ by Proposition 1.6. Let us define $T : \ell^2(A) \to \mathcal{H}$ by $T(\{c_n\})_{n\in J} = \sum_{n\in J} c_n f_n$. Therefore T is bounded and the adjoint operator is given by

$$T^* : \mathcal{H} \to \ell^2(A), \quad T^*(x) = \{\langle x, f_n\rangle\}_{n\in J}.$$

Since T^* is bounded, we get that $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence in $\mathcal{H}$. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{H}$. Then $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence in $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if $\{\langle x, f_n\rangle\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. It is clear that if $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence in $\mathcal{H}$, then $\{\langle x, f_n\rangle\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. The converse follows from the Uniform Boundedness Principle. □

In the following, we suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is finite or countable generated Hilbert C^*-module.

Theorem 2.4. *If $K \in L(\mathcal{H})$, then there exists an atomic system for K.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a standard normalized tight frame for $\mathcal{H}$. Since

$$x = \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, x_n\rangle x_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

we have

$$Kx = \sum_{n\in J}\langle x, x_n\rangle Kx_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For $x \in \mathcal{H}$, putting $a_{n,x} = \langle x, x_n\rangle$ and $f_n = Kx_n$ for all $n \in J$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle &= \sum_{n\in J}\langle x, Kx_n\rangle\langle Kx_n, x\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n\in J}\langle K^*x, x_n\rangle\langle x_n, K^*x\rangle = \langle K^*x, K^*x\rangle \\ &\leqslant \|K^*\|^2\langle x, x\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ and we conclude that the series $\sum_{n\in J} c_n f_n$ converges for all $c = \{c_n\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$ by Proposition 1.4. We also have

$$\sum_{n\in J} a_{n,x}a_{n,x}^* = \sum_{n\in J}\langle x, x_n\rangle\langle x_n, x\rangle = \langle x, x\rangle,$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ and $K \in L(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose that $T \in L(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(A))$ is given by $T(x) = \{\langle x, f_n\rangle\}_{n\in J}$ and $\overline{R(T)}$ is orthogonally complemented. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *$\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is an atomic system for K;*
(2) *There exist $C, B > 0$ such that*

$$C\|K^*x\|^2 \leqslant \Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\| \leqslant B\|x\|^2;$$

(3) *There exists $D \in L(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(A))$ such that $K = T^*D$.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2). For every $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\|K^*x\| = \sup_{\|y\|=1}\|\langle y, K^*x\rangle\| = \sup_{\|y\|=1}\|\langle Ky, x\rangle\|.$$

Since $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is an atomic system for K, there exists $M > 0$ such that for every $y \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $a_y = \{a_{n,y}\}_{n\in J} \in \ell^2(A)$ for which $\sum_{n\in J} a_{n,y}a_{n,y}^* \leqslant M\langle y, y\rangle$ and $Ky = \sum_{n\in J} a_{n,y}f_n$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|K^*x\|^2 = \sup_{\|y\|=1}\|\langle Ky, x\rangle\|^2 &= \sup_{\|y\|=1}\Big\|\langle\sum_{n\in J} a_{n,y}f_n, x\rangle\Big\|^2 = \sup_{\|y\|=1}\Big\|\sum_{n\in J} a_{n,y}\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\|^2 \\ &\leqslant \sup_{\|y\|=1}\Big\|\sum_{n\in J} a_{n,y}a_{n,y}^*\Big\|\Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\| \\ &\leqslant \sup_{\|y\|=1} M\|y\|^2\Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\| \\ &= M\Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\|,\end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$. So that

$$\frac{1}{M}\|K^*x\|^2 \leqslant \Big\| \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle \Big\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Moreover, $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$. Hence (2) holds.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Since $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence, we get $T^*e_n = f_n$, where $\{e_n\}_{n\in J}$ is the standard orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(A)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C\|K^*x\|^2 &\leqslant \Big\| \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle \Big\| = \Big\| \sum_{n\in J} \langle x, T^*e_n\rangle\langle T^*e_n, x\rangle \Big\| \\ &= \Big\| \sum_{n\in J} \langle Tx, e_n\rangle\langle e_n, Tx\rangle \Big\| = \|Tx\|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.3, there exists operator $D \in L(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(A))$ such that $K = T^*D$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) For every $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$Dx = \sum_{n\in J} \langle Dx, e_n\rangle e_n.$$

Therefore

$$T^*Dx = \sum_{n\in J} \langle Dx, e_n\rangle T^*e_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Let $a_n = \langle Dx, e_n\rangle$, so for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ we get

$$\sum_{n\in J} a_n a_n^* = \sum_{n\in J} \langle Dx, e_n\rangle\langle e_n, Dx\rangle = \langle Dx, Dx\rangle \leqslant \|D\|^2\langle x, x\rangle.$$

Since $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$, we obtain that $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is an atomic system for K. □

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with bounds $C, D > 0$ and $K \in L(\mathcal{H})$. Then $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is an atomic system for K with bounds $\frac{1}{C^{-1}\|K\|^2}$ and D.*

Proof. Let S be the frame operator of $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$. We prove that the condition (2) of Theorem 2.5 holds. Since $\{S^{-1}f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with bounds

$D^{-1}, C^{-1} > 0$ and $x = \sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle S^{-1}f_n$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|K^*x\|^2 &= \sup_{\|y\|=1}\|\langle K^*x, y\rangle\|^2 = \sup_{\|y\|=1}\Big\|\langle\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle K^*S^{-1}f_n, y\rangle\Big\|^2 \\
&= \sup_{\|y\|=1}\Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle K^*S^{-1}f_n, y\rangle\Big\|^2 \\
&\leqslant \sup_{\|y\|=1}\Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\|\Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle Ky, S^{-1}f_n\rangle\langle S^{-1}f_n, Ky\rangle\Big\| \\
&\leqslant \sup_{\|y\|=1} C^{-1}\Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\|\|Ky\|^2 \\
&= C^{-1}\|K\|^2\Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\|.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{1}{C^{-1}\|K\|^2}\|K^*x\|^2 \leqslant \Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\| \leqslant D\|x\|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is an atomic system for K. □

The converse of the above corollary holds when the operator K is onto.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be an atomic system for K. If $K \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is onto, then $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 from [1], $K \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is surjective if and only if there is $M > 0$ such that

$$M\|x\| \leqslant \|K^*x\|, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $\{f_n\}$ is an atomic system for K, by Theorem 2.5, there exsit $C, B > 0$ such that

$$C\|K^*x\|^2 \leqslant \Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\| \leqslant B\|x\|^2, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore

$$M^2C\|x\|^2 \leqslant \Big\|\sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle\Big\| \leqslant B\|x\|^2,$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. □

3. **K**-FRAMES IN HILBERT C^*-MODULES

Definition 3.1. Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a finite or countable index set. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ of elements in a Hilbert A-module $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be a *K-frame* ($K \in L(\mathcal{H})$) if there exist constants $C, D > 0$ such that

$$C\langle K^*x, K^*x\rangle \leqslant \sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle \leqslant D\langle x, x\rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a Bessel sequence for $\mathcal{H}$ and $K \in L(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose that $T \in L(\mathcal{H}, \ell^2(A))$ is given by $T(x) = \{\langle x, f_n\rangle\}_{n\in J}$ and $\overline{R(T)}$ is orthogonally complemented. Then $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a K-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if there exists a linear bounded operator $L : \ell^2(A) \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $Le_n = f_n$ and $R(K) \subseteq R(L)$, where $\{e_n\}_n$ is the orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(A)$.*

Proof. Suppose that (3.1) holds. Then $C\|K^*x\|^2 \leqslant \|Tx\|^2$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 1.3, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$KK^* \leqslant \lambda T^*T.$$

Setting $T^* = L$, we get $KK^* \leqslant \lambda LL^*$ and therefore $R(K) \subseteq R(L)$.

Conversely, since $R(K) \subseteq R(L)$, by Theorem 1.3 there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $KK^* \leqslant \lambda LL^*$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}\langle K^*x, K^*x\rangle \leqslant \langle L^*x, L^*x\rangle = \sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Hence $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a K-frame for $\mathcal{H}$. □

In the following theorem we offer a condition for getting a frame from a K-frame.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ be a K-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ with bounds $C, D > 0$. If the operator K is surjective, then $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a frame for $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 from [1], $K \in L(\mathcal{H})$ is surjective if and only if there is $M > 0$ such that

$$M\langle x, x\rangle \leqslant \langle K^*x, K^*x\rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a K-frame, we get from (3.1)

$$MC\langle x, x\rangle \leqslant C\langle K^*x, K^*x\rangle \leqslant \sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle \leqslant D\langle x, x\rangle, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *A Bessel sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is a K-frame with bounds $C, D > 0$ if and only if $S \geqslant CKK^*$, where S is the frame operator for $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$.*

Proof. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in J}$ is a K-frame for $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if

$$\langle CKK^*x, x\rangle = C\langle K^*x, K^*x\rangle \leqslant \sum_{n\in J}\langle x, f_n\rangle\langle f_n, x\rangle = \langle Sx, x\rangle \leqslant D\langle x, x\rangle,$$

□

References

[1] Lj. Arambašić, *On frames for countably generated Hilbert C^*-modules,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 2(135)(2007), 469-478.

[2] D. Bakić., B. Guljaš, *Hilbert C^*-modules over C^*-algebras of compact operators,* Acta Sci. Math(Szeged), 1-2(68)(2002), 249-269.

[3] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases, Birkhäuser*, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 2002.

[4] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (72)(1952), 341-366.

[5] X. Fang, J. Yu, H. Yao, *Solutions to operator equations on Hilbert C^*-modules,* Linear Algebra. Appl, 11(431)(2009) 2142-2153.

[6] M. Frank, D. R. Larson, *A module frame concept for Hilbert C^*-modules,* The functional and harmonic analysis of wavelets and frames(San Antonio, TX, 1999), Contemp. Math., (247)(1999), 207-233.

[7] M. Frank, D. R. Larson, *Frames in Hilbert C^*-modules and C^*-algebras,* J. Operator Theory, 2(48)(2002), 273-314.

[8] L. Găvruta, *Frames for operators,* App. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 1(32)(2012), 139-144.

[9] G.G. Kasparov, Hilbert C^*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu, J. Operator Theory 4(1)(1980), 133–150.

[10] H. Li, A Hilbert C^*-module admitting no frames, Bull. London Math. Soc. 42(3)(2010), 388–394.

[11] W. Jing, *Frames in Hilbert C^*-modules*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Central Frorida. 2006.

[12] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C*-modules: A toolkit for operator algebraists,* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[13] V.M. Manuilov, E.V. Troitsky, Hilbert C^*-Modules, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 226, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 2005.

[14] W. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^*-algebras,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (182)(1973), 443-468.

[15] X. Xiao, Y. Zhu, L. Găvruta, *Some properties of K-frames in Hilbert spaces*, Results Math. 3-4(63)(2013) 1243-1255.

Abbas Najati and M.M. Saem
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili
Ardabil 56199-11367
Iran
E-mail address: a.najati@uma.ac.ir, a.nejati@yahoo.com (A. Najati)
E-mail address: m.mohammadisaem@yahoo.com (M. M. Saem)

P. Găvruţa
Department of Mathematics
Politehnica University of Timişoara
Piaţa Victoriei, Nr. 2, 300006, Timişoara
Romania
E-mail address: pgavruta@yahoo.com